Determination of development application under s80

SYDNEY WEST JOINT REGIONAL PLANNING PANEL

DECISION

This decision is made under section 80 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act* 1979 (NSW) by the Sydney West Joint Regional Planning Panel as the relevant consent authority.

JRPP No

2013SYW089

DA No

383/2014/JP

Local Government Area

The Hills Shire Council

Proposed Development

Construction of a residential flat building development containing 80 units. The units are proposed within two separate seven storey buildings with a centralised courtyard area. Basement style parking is

proposed

Street Address

Cadies Boulevard, Rouse Hill (Lot 7 DP 280013)

Applicant/Owner

Insight Capital Pty Ltd

Determination of application by JRPP

Consent to the development application is granted subject to

conditions.

Members of Panel present for the making of determination

Mary-Lynne Taylor Paul Mitchell Bruce McDonald Michael Edgar

Dave Walker

Members of Panel in favour of determination

5

Members of Panel 0

against determination

Date of determination

30 April 2014

Conditions of consent

Consent to the development application is granted subject to the

conditions specified in Appendix A

JRPP member (chair) Mary-Lynne Taylor

MARY

JRPP member Paul Mitchell JRPP member Bruce McDonald

Drieles

JRPP member Dave Walker JRPP member Michael Edgar

SYDNEY WESTJOINT REGIONAL PLANNING PANEL

STATEMENT OF REASONS for decision under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW)

The Sydney West Joint Regional Planning Panel (JRPP) provides the following Statement of Reasons for its decision under section 80 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (NSW)(the Act) to:

Grant consent to the development application subject to conditions

For:

Construction of a residential flat building development containing 80 units. The units are proposed within two separate seven storey buildings with a centralised courtyard area. Basement style parking is proposed

JRPP Reference number: 2013SYW089 - Council Reference number: DA383/2014/JP

Applicant:

Insight Capital Pty Ltd

Type of regional development:

Development that has a capital investment value of more than \$20 million.

A. Background

1. JRPP meeting

Sydney West Joint Regional Planning Panel considered the following application via electronic means and this is the record of the process and decision.

Panel Members:

Mary-Lynne Taylor – Chair Paul Mitchell – Panel Member Bruce McDonald – Panel Member Michael Edgar – Panel Member Dave Walker – Panel Member

	_	_
Anc	Noo	יוסכי
AU	JIUU	ies:

None

Declarations of Interest:

None

2. JRPP as consent authority

Pursuant to s 23G(1) of the Act, the Sydney West Joint Planning Panel (the Panel), which covers the Hills Shire Council area, was constituted by the Minister.

The functions of the Panel include any of a council's functions as a consent authority as are conferred upon it by an environmental planning instrument [s 23G(2)(a) of the Act], which in this case is the *State Environment Planning Policy (State and Regional Development) 2011*.

Schedule 4A of the Act sets out development for which joint regional planning panels may be authorised to exercise consent authority functions of councils.

3. Procedural background

This matter was considered appropriate for electronic determination as there were no submissions made by members of the public, the report recommended approval of the Development Application, and both council and the applicant agreed to electronic determination and to the conditions of consent.

On 4 April 2014 the Regional Panels Secretariat circulated the Council's assessment report and recommended conditions of consent to the panel for consideration.

Panel members confirmed their decision via emails 6 April 2014 and 28 April 2014.

B. Evidence or other material on which findings are based

Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

- s.79C (1) Matters for consideration—general
- (a) the provisions of:
 - (i) any environmental planning instrument,
 - State Environmental Planning Policy State and Regional Development 2011 (SEPP)
 - SEPP 32 Urban Consolidation
 - SEPP 65 Design of Residential Flat Buildings
 - The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012
 - (ii) any proposed instrument that is or has been the subject of public consultation under the Act and that has been notified to the consent authority
 - Not applicable
 - (iii) any relevant development control plan

- The Hills Development Control Plan Rouse Hill Regional Centre
- Section 94 Plan

(iiia) any relevant planning agreement that has been entered into under section 93F, or any draft planning agreement that a developer has offered to enter into under section 93F

- Not applicable
- (v) any coastal zone management plan
- Not applicable
- (iv) relevant regulations:
- Not applicable

No submissions were received on the proposal.

In making the decision, the Panel also considered the following material:

1. Council's Assessment Report on the application dated 4 April 2014.

C. Findings on material questions of fact

The Panel has carefully considered all of the material referred to in Section B.

(a) Environmental planning instruments. The Panel has considered each of the environmental planning instruments referred to in Section B.

The Panel agrees with and adopts the analysis in Council's Assessment Report in relation to each of the environmental planning instruments referred to in Section B.

(b) Development control plan. The Panel has considered The Hills Development Control Plan Rouse Hill Regional Centre referred to in Section B.

The Panel agrees with and adopts the analysis in Council's Assessment Report in relation to the Development Control Plan.

(c) Likely environmental impacts on the natural environment. In relation to the likely environmental impacts of the development on the natural environment, the Panel's findings are as follows.

The Panel agrees with and adopts the analysis in relation to the likely environmental impacts of the development on the natural environment in section 5 of Council's Assessment Report.

(d) Likely environmental impacts of the development on the built environment. In relation to the likely environmental impacts of the development on the built environment, the Panel's findings are as follows.

[The Panel agrees with and adopts the analysis in relation to the likely environmental impacts of the development on the built environment in section 5 of Council's Assessment Report.

(e) Likely social and economic impacts. In relation to the likely social and economic impacts of the development in the locality, the Panel's findings are as follows.

The Panel agrees with and adopts the analysis in relation to the likely social and economic impacts of the development in section 5 of Council's Assessment Report.

- **(f) Suitability of site.** Based on a consideration of all of the material set out in Section B above and given the Panel's findings in this Section C, the Panel's finding is that the site is suitable for the proposed development.
- (g) Public Interest. Based on a consideration of all of the material set out in Section B above and given the Panel's findings in this Section C, the Panel's finding is that granting consent to the development application is in the public interest.

D. Why the decision was made

The panel unanimously accepts and approves the council's recommendation in its assessment and also the conditions suggested in the council report.

Rafur

JRPP member (chair) Mary-Lynne Taylor

HAR

JRPP member Paul Mitchell JRPP member Bruce McDonald

Drieles

JRPP member Dave Walker JRPP member Michael Edgar